ARCTIC PARADE / FICTIONAL ESTATE REVIEW / 1 OF 2

# Harbour IT.
# A review with next steps.

Illustrative resources, evidence, owners and decisions. No customer account is connected and no live provider checks were performed.

| 4 | 1 | 0 |
|---|---|---|
| Illustrative reviews | Owner assigned | Live provider checks |

## What needs a decision?

| Review | Evidence and limit | Next step |
|---|---|---|
| **Runtime retirement** | Catalogue candidate. The affected application scope has not been confirmed. | Review the original notice and deployed runtime. Owner unassigned. |
| **Storage baseline** | Fictional setting differs from the approved baseline. Public-object access is not proven. | Planned · Platform engineer. Agree the change and validation date. |
| **API version** | Illustrative team declaration. It is not observed traffic or evidence about every caller. | Check callers against the reviewed source, then record a supported target. |
| **AWS Health notice** | Fictional resource-ARN match. No actual private AWS event is included. | Review applicability and account context before scheduling work. |

This example demonstrates the reporting process. It is not an assessment of a real estate, proof of security or a guarantee of complete lifecycle coverage.

## Evidence to keep with each review

Record the resource, evidence type, source, checked date and supported scope. Keep the approved baseline or declared version where relevant. Separate a catalogue candidate, a team declaration and a provider observation; do not upgrade one into another.

## Ownership and completion

Agree an owner and internal target. Record the proposed change, test plan and completion evidence. A passing setting does not automatically close human work. Unknown/stale evidence and a later change can require another review; an expiring risk decision does not remove the warning.

## Coverage to confirm before live use

Azure and AWS configuration collection remain disabled pending authorised account validation. Selected resource types and family limits apply. AWS Health additionally requires an eligible support plan and exact affected-resource matching. Continue using original vendor notifications and your existing change process.

## Customer communication draft

We have reviewed the evidence currently recorded for this estate. The next step is to confirm applicability, assign the remaining owners and agree internal dates. We will retain the source and validation evidence with each decision before marking work complete.

**Draft for human review.** No customer message is sent automatically.

## Reference points

Current product scopes: arcticparade.com/coverage
Access and assurance boundaries: arcticparade.com/security
Original Microsoft catalogue: arcticparade.com/changes